

The Fundamental Theorem of Localizing Invariants

Victor Saunier

October 28, 2022

Abstract

We prove a generalization of the fundamental theorem of algebraic K-theory for Verdier-localizing functors by extending the proof for algebraic K-theory of spaces to the realm of stable ∞ -categories. The formula behaves much better for Karoubi-localizing functors, the Verdier-localizing invariants which are additionally invariant under idempotent completion.

This general fundamental theorem specializes to new formulas in the context of non-connective K-theory, topological Hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology as well as connective K-theory of stable ∞ -categories, and generalizes several known formulas for algebraic K-theory of spaces or connective K-theory of ordinary rings, ring spectra, schemes and \mathbb{S} -algebras.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Semi-exact tensoring of stable ∞-categories	6
3	Verdier, Karoubi sequences and localizing invariants	9
3.1	Verdier and Karoubi sequences	9
3.2	Verdier- and Karoubi-localizing invariants	11
4	The Projective Line	14
4.1	The Projective Line as a pullback	14
4.2	An explicit calculation for the Projective Line	15
5	The Fundamental Theorem of Verdier-localizing invariants and its consequences	20
5.1	Main results	20
5.2	The Fundamental Theorem for algebraic K-theory of spaces	22
5.3	The Fundamental Theorem for K-theory of ring spectra	23
5.4	The fundamental theorem for THH and TC	23

1 Introduction

In algebraic K-theory of rings, the celebrated "fundamental theorem" states that, for a ring R and any integer $n \geq 0$, there is a natural isomorphism:

$$K_n(R[t, t^{-1}]) \cong K_n(R) \oplus K_{n-1}(R) \oplus N_+K(R) \oplus N_-K(R)$$

where $N_{\pm}K(R)$ are isomorphic nilterms which are the kernel of the split morphism $K_n(R) \rightarrow K_n(R[t])$ and vanish when R is regular. The $K_{-1}(R)$ appearing in degree zero is the first group of negative K-theory of rings as defined by Bass. This result was proved by Bass-Heller-Swan for K_0 and K_1 and later in full generality by Quillen, whose proof was presented by Grayson in [Gra76]. It was then extended to schemes by Thomason-Trobaugh in [TT90].

In [HKV⁺01], Hüttemann, Klein, Vogell, Waldhausen and Williams proved a similar equivalence for the finitely-dominated variant of $A(X)$ the algebraic K-theory of a space X , originally defined by the fourth author. If X is a space, their version of A-theory, $A^{fd}(X)$, is defined to be the K-theory of the Waldhausen category $\mathcal{R}_{fd}(X)$ of finitely-dominated retracts of X . They showed in *loc. cit.* that it satisfies the following equivalence:

$$A^{fd}(X \times S^1) \simeq A^{fd}(X) \times \mathcal{B}A^{fd}(X) \times N_+A^{fd}(X) \times N_-A^{fd}(X)$$

where $N_{\pm}A^{fd}(X)$ are homeomorphic nilterms and $\mathcal{B}A^{fd}(X)$ is a non-connective but canonical delooping of $A^{fd}(X)$. The nilterm are also obtained as some kernel, though there is no space that plays the role of $R[t]$ in this context. This can be thought as an extension of the fundamental theorem to some class of ring spectra¹: indeed, $A(X)$ is equivalently defined as the K-theory of the ring spectrum $\mathbb{S}[\Omega X]$ and $\mathbb{S}[\Omega(X \times S^1)] \simeq \mathbb{S}[\Omega X][t, t^{-1}]$. The formula above is then simply the fundamental theorem for these "brave new rings".

Other extensions of this "fundamental theorem" have been made in recent years, expanding the known cases: Lück and Steimle have proven a twisted formula for both connective and non-connective K-theory of additive categories in [LS16] and Fontes and Ogle have shown the theorem holds for connective \mathbb{S} -algebras in [FO18]. Moreover, Hüttemann has extended the result for strongly \mathbb{Z} -graded rings in [Hü20].

More recently, the result has been shown to hold for a greater class of invariants than just flavors of K-theory. In [Tab12], Tabuada proved the formula held with vanishing nilterms for every \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariant, derived Morita-invariant functor from dg-categories which was furthermore localizing. In the more geometric context of spectral algebraic geometry, Cisinski and Khan showed that for localizing invariants of stable R -linear ∞ -category, where R is a connective ring spectra, the formula held as well (see Theorem 4.3.1 in [CK20]).

The motivation of this article is to provide a general statement which encompasses many of the above formulas. Lured by recent development, such as [BGT13] or [Bar16], which have made clear the practicality and the usefulness of higher category theory for K-theoretic purposes, we will prove a higher categorical version of the fundamental theorem and, following [CK20] and [Tab12], show that it not only holds for algebraic K-theory but also for a whole array of invariants which satisfy a key property of *localization*, the precise flavor of it we will explain in the following. Amongst such localizing invariants are notably topological Hochschild homology, topological cyclic homology and non-connective K-theory.

Notations and conventions. As we explained, the higher categorical setting is one of the motivation of this article. Thus we adopt throughout this paper the language of ∞ -categories developed by Jacob Lurie in *Higher Topos Theory* [Lur08] and *Higher Algebra* [Lur17]. We recall now the main concepts and notations we will use.

A stable ∞ -category is an ∞ -category with a zero object — such ∞ -categories will be called *pointed* — such that every morphism has a fiber and a cofiber and additionally, that fiber sequences and cofiber sequences coincide. Stable ∞ -categories play the higher categorical role of abelian categories: in particular, they admit all of the finite limits and colimits but also, cartesian squares

¹By which we mean E_1 -ring spectra

coincide with cocartesian squares — and are called exact squares. Functors preserving the finite limits and colimits will be called exact.

We will denote \mathbf{Cat}_∞ the ∞ -category of (small) ∞ -categories, and \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} the subcategory of stable ∞ -categories and exact functors between them. When \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category, we denote $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ its Ind-construction, \mathcal{C}^c its full subcategory of compact object and $\mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ its idempotent completion. In particular, [Lur08] 5.4.2.4 gives an equivalence $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C})^c \simeq \mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$. When \mathcal{C} is stable, so are all of the above ∞ -categories.

We let $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} of idempotent-complete stable ∞ -category. Finally, \mathcal{S} will denote the ∞ -category of spaces and Sp the stable ∞ -category of spectra, which is the stabilisation of the former.

Main results. In the original proof of [Gra76], the fundamental theorem for algebraic K-theory of rings is deduced from a property of K-theory regarding localisation of rings. We proceed by a categorification of this notion, following the ideas of [BGT13], which have realized (non-connective) algebraic K-theory as a functor built from the universal *localizing invariant*.

To properly capture the exact flavour of this localization, we adopt however a different semantic from that of [BGT13] and [CK20], which is inspired from the series of papers on hermitian K-theory for higher categories [CDH⁺21a], [CDH⁺21b] and [CDH⁺21c]. First, let us define three classes of cofibers of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} :

Definition 1.1 A *Verdier sequence* is a sequence of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex}

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}$$

which is both a fiber and a cofiber in \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . Exact functors i fitting in such sequences are called Verdier inclusions and p Verdier projections.

If p has a left adjoint (resp. right), then the Verdier sequence is called left-split (resp. right-split). Sequences that are both left- and right-split are called *split-Verdier sequences*.

A *Karoubi sequence* is a sequence of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex}

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}$$

which is sent by the idempotent-completion functor Idem to both a fiber and a cofiber in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$, the full subcategory of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} of stable idempotent-complete ∞ -categories. Exact functors i fitting in such sequences are called Karoubi inclusions and p Karoubi projections.

We will characterize Verdier projections and Karoubi projections; in particular, we will see that Verdier sequences are in particular Karoubi sequences. Functors $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ sending either of those three classes of cofibers to exact sequences of spectra will be our different flavours of localizing invariants.

Definition 1.2 A reduced functor $F : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ is called:

- *additive* or *split-Verdier localizing* if it sends split-Verdier sequences to exact sequences in Sp
- *Verdier localizing* if it sends Verdier sequences to exact sequences in Sp
- *Karoubi localizing* if it sends Karoubi sequences to exact sequences in Sp

By the remark above, Karoubi-localizing functors are in particular Verdier-localizing. In fact, we will see that they are exactly the Verdier-localizing functors that are invariant under idempotent completion in Proposition 3.12.

Note that we do not ask that localizing invariants preserve filtered colimits. Although this is the case of many of the examples we will encounter, such as algebraic K-theory or topological Hochschild homology, it will not be needed to prove our results. In this, we differ from the definitions of [BGT13] and adopt the conventions of [CDH⁺21b] that separate the two properties.

Our definitions being only slightly different from theirs, the full results of [BGT13] could be tweaked to apply to our setting. However, for simplicity and because they do not play a part in the further parts of this article, we have chosen to omit the discussion of non-commutative motives and associated results.

In our categorified setting, the ring of Laurent polynomials $R[t, t^{-1}]$ appearing in the formula of the "fundamental theorem" will be replaced by some stable ∞ -category that we preemptively denote $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$. However, the tensor product \otimes is not the usual tensor product of symmetrical monoidal structures on \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} or $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$, since S^1 is not a stable ∞ -category but simply an ∞ -groupoid.

First recall the usual tensor product of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . If \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are stable ∞ -categories, then there exists a stable ∞ -category universal for functors from $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ which are exact in both variables. Notice that when \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are idempotent complete, their tensor product need not be. Hence, specializing on $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$, the internal tensor product is obtained by taking the idempotent completion of the former.

For our purposes, we need a hybrid version of this construction: namely, we are interested in tensoring a stable \mathcal{C} by any ∞ -category K , which need not be stable. Hence, our $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is universal for functors from $K \times \mathcal{C}$ which are exact only in the second variable. As above, when \mathcal{C} is idempotent complete, the tensoring $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ need not be but we can consider its idempotent completion $K \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$. For instance, denote $\text{Perf}(R)$ the compact R -modules for a ring spectrum R , then we have $S^1 \hat{\otimes} \text{Perf}(R) \simeq \text{Perf}(R[t, t^{-1}])$. This realizes the announced generalization of Laurent polynomials.

Our first main result relates $F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C})$ and $F(\mathcal{C})$ for F Verdier-localizing and \mathcal{C} idempotent complete.

Theorem 1.3 Let \mathcal{C} be a stable idempotent-complete ∞ -category and $F : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ a Verdier-localizing invariant, then, we have the following equivalence of spectra:

$$F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- F(\mathcal{C})$$

where $N_\pm F(\mathcal{C})$ are nil-terms.

In all of our applications, we will use a refined version of the preceding theorem. Indeed, even though we supposed \mathcal{C} idempotent complete, $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ need not be. However, in the special case of Karoubi-localizing invariants, which amounts to further supposing that F is invariant under idempotent completion, we have the following generalization, where we replaced $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by its idempotent-completion $S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}$, which is in many applications the actual category we are interested in.

Theorem 1.4 Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category and $F : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ a Karoubi-localizing invariant, then, we have the following equivalence of spectra:

$$F(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- F(\mathcal{C})$$

where $N_\pm F(\mathcal{C})$ are nil-terms.

Note that we also need no longer take \mathcal{C} idempotent complete, since $\text{Idem}(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Idem}(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C})$. In particular, non-connective K-theory is Karoubi localizing and so we have the following version of the fundamental theorem for non-connective K-theory:

$$\mathbb{K}(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C})$$

Taking \mathcal{C} to be $\text{Perf}(R)$, the stable ∞ -category of *perfect* R -modules for a ring spectrum R gives a formula for non-connective K-theory of ring spectra, generalizing [Gra76] and [FO18]. In particular, when $R = \mathbb{S}[\Omega X]$, this is a non-connective version of the main result of [HKV⁺01].

Taking connective covers in the formula above gives an improved version of 1.3 for connective K-theory, which is only Verdier-localizing. This is the actual formula appearing in [Gra76] or [HKV⁺01] in their above-mentioned specific cases. In particular, the canonical non-connective

delooping of $K(\mathcal{C})$ appears here as the connective cover of $\Sigma\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C})$. The connective formula given by 1.3 for connective K-theory, which is used for instance in the formula for finite algebraic K-theory of spaces, misses specifically the non-connective term appearing in π_0 .

Outline of the proof. As expected, the proof of our main result relies on the idea of a *projective line*, as found in [HKV⁺01], [CK20] or originally [Gra76] but generalized to our context. There are two maps $S_{\pm}^1 = B\mathbb{N}_{\pm} \rightarrow B\mathbb{Z} = S^1$ depending on the identification of \mathbb{N} as either non-positive or non-negative integers, which induce exact functors $S_{\pm}^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ for any stable \mathcal{C} , which we call the *telescopes*. $S_{\pm}^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ models the $\text{Spec}(A[t^{\pm 1}])$ appearing in [Gra76]. The pullback of the telescopes is the *projective line of \mathcal{C}* , denoted $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 relies on the ability to express the image of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ under a Verdier-localizing invariant F in two different ways, one by the preservation of specific pullbacks which is a consequence of the property of being Verdier-localizing, and the other through a direct calculation, which is reliant on the fact that \mathcal{C} is idempotent complete, hence the hypothesis. This last computation is a version of the *projective bundle formula* of Section 4.2 in [CK20], whose proof has been expunged from any reference to Lurie’s Spectral Algebraic Geometry (see [Lurng]). Indeed, we will show:

Proposition 1.5 For any Verdier-localizing invariant F , we have an equivalence $F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C})$. Moreover, the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & F(S_+^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

The fact that the square is cartesian is a direct, abstract consequence of the Verdier-localizing character of our functor F . The equivalence $F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) = F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C})$ relies on the other hand on an actual concrete calculation, going through explicit descriptions of objects at hand. This is the part that is the longer and the more intricate of the two.

Organisation of the article. The section 2 and 3 are dedicated to preliminaries regarding respectively the tensor construction and the notions of Verdier and Karoubi-localizing functors, both outlined previously. The tensor product of section 2 is an algebraic version of the construction of 6.4.1 [CDH⁺21a] and section 3 mostly extracts from the appendix of [CDH⁺21b] the propositions and lemmas relevant to our problem.

Section 4 is where most of the magic takes place. We first define the projective line and relevant objects to prove the cartesian square part of Proposition 1.5, and in the following subsection, we make the explicit calculation of $F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}))$ for \mathcal{C} a stable ∞ -category and F Verdier-localizing. This is the most technical part of this article.

In section 5, we finish the proof of Theorems 1.3 and 1.4, and draw the many consequences it has for algebraic K-theory, its non-connective version as well as topological Hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology.

Acknowledgements. The author is indebted to its PhD advisor Yonatan Harpaz for suggesting the main idea of this paper, for many discussions and countless answered questions. The author would also like to thank all of the people who have, by their unwavering and invaluable support, contributed to the completion of this article, from friends and family to colleagues and teachers.

Early drafts of this manuscript were written when the author was a student at the Ecole Polytechnique in Paris. The remainder was carried while the author was subsidized by a PhD grant funded by the ERC *Foundations of motivic real K-theory*.

2 Semi-exact tensoring of stable ∞ -categories

In this section, we are interested in a tensor-like construction between a stable ∞ -category and a general simplicial set K . Ultimately, K will either be $B\mathbb{Z}$ or $B\mathbb{N}$, the ∞ -categories with one object and \mathbb{Z} or \mathbb{N} as (discrete) spaces of morphisms, allowing us for instance to model a stable tensoring of a stable ∞ -category by the circle S^1 .

Recall that a stable ∞ -category is a pointed ∞ -category, i.e. an ∞ -category with a zero object, such that every morphism has a fiber and a cofiber and additionally, that fiber sequences and cofiber sequences coincide. Here, every (co)limit is to be understood in the ∞ -categorical world and thus corresponds to a homotopy (co)limit. The study of stable ∞ -categories is developed in the first chapter of [Lur17]. In particular, it is shown that stable ∞ -categories admit all of the finite limits and colimits, and cartesian squares coincide with cocartesian squares. Exact functors are functors preserving either finite limits or colimits and they in fact preserve both.

There are multiple constructions of ∞ -categories which could legitimately be called tensor products. For instance, when \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} are ∞ -categories, $\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{D}$ corepresents $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, -))$. When \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are stable, $\text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{D}, -))$ is also corepresentable, this time in the enriched setting of $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex}$, and if \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} are furthermore presentable, $\text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{D}, -))$ is again internally corepresentable in Pr_{Ex}^L , the ∞ -category of presentable stable ∞ -categories and left functors.

The tensor product we will use in this article is an hybridization of the first two. Indeed, we want to capture the stable nature of our stable ∞ -categories, which we require to be able to talk about their K-theory, but we also want to tensor them by ∞ -groupoids which need not be stable, like $B\mathbb{Z}$ or $B\mathbb{N}$. We also want the result to be stable, so that we can take its K-theory as well.

Hence, we take our tensor product to be corepresenting the functor $\text{Fun}(K, \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, -))$, i.e. to be universal for functors from $K \times \mathcal{C}$ which are exact only in the second variable.

Definition 2.1 Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -categories and K a simplicial set. We define $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ by the following universal property:

$$\text{Fun}^{Ex}(K \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K, \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$$

This construction is functorial in K and \mathcal{C} .

Note that this is *not* symmetrical in K and \mathcal{C} when both are stable ∞ -categories, and does not coincide with the usual tensor product of $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex}$, which is universal for functors $K \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ exact in *both* variables.

The fact that $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ exists is a consequence of [Lur08] 5.3.6.2. Indeed, exact functors between stable ∞ -categories are exactly finite-colimits preserving functors by [Lur17] 1.1.4.1, so $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ can be obtained as the universal ∞ -category for functors out of $K \times \mathcal{C}$ which send \mathcal{R} , the finite cocones of $K \times \mathcal{C}$ which are constant in the first variable and colimits in the second variable, to colimits at their target. This is exactly described by the construction $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{R}}^{\mathcal{K}}(K \times \mathcal{C})$ given by [Lur08] 5.3.6.2 where \mathcal{K} designates all the finite cocones of $K \times \mathcal{C}$. In fact, the proof of this proposition even gives an explicit description, which can be reformulated as in the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2 $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ can be realized as the smallest subcategory of $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ stable by finite colimits and containing $L_{k,X}$, the left Kan extensions of $\{k\} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ constant in $X \in \mathcal{C}$ along the inclusions $\{k\} \subset K^{op}$.

Proof. When \mathcal{C} has all finite colimits, $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ can be identified to the full subcategory of finite colimit-preserving ∞ -presheaves, i.e. functors $\mathcal{C}^{op} \rightarrow S$ which preserve finite colimits. This is another consequence of [Lur08] 5.3.6.2, which is explicitly stated in Example 5.3.6.8 of *loc. cit.* In particular, for a stable \mathcal{C} , $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocomplete and the left Kan extensions $L_{k,X}$ exist for any $k \in K_0$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$, hence our claimed construction for $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is well-defined.

Let us now unfold this construction and see why it coincides with that of [Lur08] 5.3.6.2. Indeed, by what we explained above, $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ can be identified with functors of $\text{Fun}(K^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^{op}, S)$ which preserve finite colimits in the second variable. To match [Lur08] 5.3.6.2, we need to show

our construction identifies with the essential image of $L \circ j_0$ and is closed under finite colimits, where L is the left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \subset \text{Fun}(K^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^{op}, S)$ and j_0 is the Yoneda embedding of $K \times \mathcal{C}$.

The closure under finite colimits is a part our definition, hence it suffices to show that $L_{k,X} \simeq L \circ j_0(k, X)$ for $k \in K_0$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Let F be a functor $K \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$. By [Lur08] 4.3.3.7, we have the following equivalence:

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))}(L_{k,X}, F) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, F(k)) \simeq F(k)(X)$$

where the second equivalence is given by the Yoneda lemma. Differently stated, this is saying that $L_{k,X}$ corepresents the evaluation functor $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow S$ sending $F : K^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ to $F(k)(X)$. But, by adjunction, $L \circ j_0(k, X)$ verifies:

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))}(L \circ j_0(k, X), F) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K^{op} \times \mathcal{C}^{op}, S)}(j_0(k, X), \tilde{F})$$

where $j_0(k, X)$ is the Yoneda embedding at (k, X) and \tilde{F} is the "uncurried" functor. Hence, it follows from the Yoneda lemma that $L \circ j_0(k, X)$ is corepresenting the same functor as $L_{k,X}$, since $\tilde{F}(k, X) \simeq F(k)(X)$ by definition, and yet another instance of Yoneda gives us the wanted equivalence. This shows that $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is universal for functors $K \times \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ preserving finite colimits in the second variable.

Moreover, $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is a stable ∞ -category, since it is a subcategory of the stable $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ which is itself stable by finite colimits and by the loop functor Ω , since $\Omega L_{k,X} = L_{k, \Omega X}$ and Ω commutes with finite colimits. Since finite colimit-preserving functors between stable ∞ -categories are exact, we have the universal property of the definition, as wanted. \square

Remark 2.3 [CDH⁺21a] 6.4.1 defines the hermitian version of this construction. Because their hermitian functors are generally taken to be from \mathcal{C}^{op} , their construction involves $\text{Pro}(\mathcal{C})$ and right Kan extensions but this is the only difference. In particular, our proof of the proposition is in all points similar to the remark 6.4.2 establishing the universal property.

■ **Example 2.4** When R is a ring spectrum, we will see that $S^1 \otimes \text{Perf}(R)$ identifies as a dense subcategory of $\text{Perf}(R[t, t^{-1}])$, where $\text{Perf}(R)$ are R -modules which are compact in $R\text{-Mod}$ and *dense* means that every object of $\text{Perf}(R[t, t^{-1}])$ is a retract of an object of $S^1 \otimes \text{Perf}(R)$.

The same will be true for $S^1 \otimes \text{Fun}(X, \text{Sp})^c$ and $\text{Fun}(X \times S^1, \text{Sp})^c$. ■

In general, as the examples above show, $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ need not be idempotent complete even if \mathcal{C} is. However, we can identify its idempotent completion, and in fact even its Ind -construction. The following lemma is a generalization of a result of [Lur14] proposition 6, Lecture 21.

Lemma 2.5 Let K be a simplicial set and \mathcal{C} an ∞ -category, then the ∞ -category $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ is compactly generated and in fact, we even have

$$\text{Ind}(K \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$$

which means that $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ is generated by $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. The first claim follows from the second, since $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is contained in the full subcategory of compact objects. Indeed, the left Kan extension is left adjoint to a filtered colimit-preserving functor, hence it preserves compact objects and \mathcal{C} is compact in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$. Thus, it suffices to prove the announced equivalence.

Since $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ is cocomplete, the inclusion $K \otimes \mathcal{C} \subset \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ extends to a fully-faithful $\text{Ind}(K \otimes \mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$, which we have to show is essentially surjective. But this is a map preserving colimits between presentable ∞ -categories, hence it has a right adjoint R by the adjoint functor theorem ([Lur08] 5.5.2.9), and it suffices to show that R is conservative.

Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a map of $\text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$, i.e. a natural transformation between functors $K^{op} \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, such that $R(f)$ is an equivalence. Then, precomposition by $R(f)$ induces the following equivalence for any $k \in K$ and $X \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))}(L_{k, X}, A) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Fun}(K, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))}(L_{k, X}, B)$$

By the universal property of left Kan extensions, it follows that

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, A(k)) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, B(k))$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$. Since \mathcal{C} generates $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ under filtered colimits and X is compact in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, we conclude that f induces an equivalence $A(k) \simeq B(k)$ for any $k \in K$. Hence f is a natural equivalence as wanted. \square

Remark 2.6 We mentioned in introduction a third tensor-like product, for presentable stable ∞ -categories, which is for instance the one used in [BGT13]. It induces a tensor product $\hat{\otimes}$ between stable ∞ -categories \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} which is always idempotent complete by letting $\mathcal{C} \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{D} : (\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \otimes^L \text{Ind}(\mathcal{D}))^c$, where \otimes^L is the symmetric monoidal structure of presentable stable ∞ -categories with the left functors.

We can define a hybrid version, $K \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C} := (K \otimes^L \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))^c$ where \otimes^L is defined by the following universal property^a, for presentable stable \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{D} :

$$\text{Fun}^L(K \otimes^L \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K, \text{Fun}^L(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}))$$

Since $\text{Fun}^L(\text{Ind}(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{D})$ for stable \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{D} , we have that $K \otimes^L \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{Ind}(K \otimes \mathcal{C})$ by comparing universal properties. Thus, we have $K \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Idem}(K \otimes \mathcal{C})$ by taking compact objects on both sides.

With the lemma above, we have an equivalence $K \otimes^L \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ which yields $K \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C} \simeq \text{Fun}(K^{op}, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))^c$. For Karoubi-localizing invariants, which we will introduce in the following section and are invariant under idempotent completion, our main result Theorem 1.3 is also true for $\hat{\otimes}$, which is often quite easier to identify.

^aWhich exists and gives a presentable stable ∞ -category for similar reasons as in proposition 2.2

As we mentioned earlier, $- \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is functorial. Our goal now is to identify the induced exact functor $A \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{C}$ for $f : A \rightarrow B$.

If $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map of simplicial set, then by [Lur08] 4.3.3.7, we have an adjoint pair:

$$\text{Fun}(A, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_!} \\ \xleftarrow{f^*} \end{array} \text{Fun}(B, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$$

where $f_!$ denotes the functor of left Kan extension along f and f^* precomposition by f . Using the notations of the explicit construction of 2.2, $f_! L_{a, X} \simeq L_{f(a), X}$ for any $a \in A_0, X \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ since the left Kan extension of a composite is the composition of left Kan extension. Being a left adjoint, $f_!$ commutes with colimits thus restrict to a functor that we suggestively denote $B \otimes_A -$ for now:

$$B \otimes_A - : A \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{C}$$

which sends $L_{a, X}$ to $L_{b, X}$ and preserves (finite) colimits, hence is exact. However, remark that in general, f^* need not preserve the $L_{b, X}$ so the adjunction does not descend. By universality, the functorial map $f \otimes \mathcal{C} : A \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow B \otimes \mathcal{C}$ must induce for any \mathcal{D}

$$\text{Fun}^{Ex}(B \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Fun}(B^{op}, \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(A^{op}, \text{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \simeq \text{Fun}^{Ex}(A \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$$

where the middle map is precomposition by f^{op} . Hence, the restricted left Kan extension of f denoted $B \otimes_A -$ is indeed the map $f \otimes \mathcal{C}$ functorially induced by f .

We will need to consider some of the less well-behaved precomposition by f_* in our subsequent sections. In our examples, the map $A \rightarrow B$ will be the inclusion of a unique point, and in that context, the precomposition naturally lands in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$.

Definition 2.7 Let K be a 0-reduced simplicial set, then precomposition by the inclusion $* \subset K$ induces a functor $\text{fgt}_K : K \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(*, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ forgetting the K -part of the tensor. We will call it the *forgetful functor* of $K \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

3 Verdier, Karoubi sequences and localizing invariants

This section is dedicated to establishing terminology and useful results related to (split-)Verdier and Karoubi invariants.

These ideas were first introduced in [BGT13] under the name of *additive* and *localizing* invariants. However our setting fits more naturally in a middle-ground of those two notions, which was notably developed in Appendix A of [CDH⁺21b], called *Verdier localizing* invariants. We adopt their terminology in the following: the *localizing* invariants of [BGT13] correspond to *Karoubi localizing* invariants for us, and the *additive* ones to *split-Verdier localizing*. Appendix A of [CDH⁺21b], which will serve as our reference of choice for this material, gives a precise comparison of all the notions in its introductory remark.

3.1 Verdier and Karoubi sequences

We recall here an array of definitions and results we will need in the following. They are mostly coming from appendix A of [CDH⁺21b]. First, let us define the central object of this section, *Verdier sequences*.

Definition 3.1 A *Verdier sequence* is a sequence of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex}

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}$$

which is both a fiber and a cofiber in \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . Exact functors i fitting in such sequences are called Verdier inclusions and p Verdier projections.

If p has a left adjoint (resp. right), then the Verdier sequence is called left-split (resp. right-split). Sequences that are both left- and right-split are called *split Verdier sequences*; they are the exact sequences of [BGT13].

Recall that there is a functor $\text{Idem} : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$ computing the idempotent-completion of an ∞ -category, which is left adjoint to the inclusion. In the stable setting, it preserves both limits and colimits (see [CDH⁺21b] A.3.3). Hence, sequences of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} that become fiber-cofibers after applying Idem form a more general class, the *Karoubi sequences*:

Definition 3.2 A *Karoubi sequence* is a sequence of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex}

$$\mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}$$

which is sent by the idempotent-completion functor Idem to both a fiber and a cofiber in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$. Exact functors i fitting in such sequences are called Karoubi inclusions and p Karoubi projections.

Remark 3.3 Remark that the preceding definition asks the sequence to be a fiber-cofiber in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$ and not \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . Since the inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem} \subset \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex}$ only preserves limits in general, the idempotent completion of a Verdier sequence is only a Karoubi sequence, and not a Verdier one when regarded as a sequence of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . In particular, there are Karoubi sequences of idempotent-complete ∞ -categories which are *not* Verdier sequences.

It will be convenient to have a way to know whether a functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ fits in a Verdier sequence. To this intent, we have the following criterion for Verdier projections and Verdier inclusions, which is extracted from A.1.6 and A.1.9 of [CDH⁺21b].

Proposition 3.4 Let $p : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact functor between stable ∞ -categories. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. p is a Verdier projection
2. p is a localisation at some collection of arrows \mathcal{W} , i.e. $p_* : \text{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{E}) \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{E})$ is fully-faithful for any ∞ -category \mathcal{E} with essential image functors $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ which invert \mathcal{W} .

and the following are also equivalent:

1. p is a Verdier inclusion
2. p is fully-faithful and has essential image closed under retracts in \mathcal{D}

Note that what we call localisation are the functors of Warning 5.2.7.3 of [Lur08] and we reserve the term *Bousfield localisation* for what Lurie calls a localisation, which is asking for a fully-faithful right adjoint.

The localizations that are Bousfield are exactly those having a right adjoint, which leads to the following criterion for left-split and right-split Verdier projections, extracted from the equivalence between (i) and (iv) of A.2.3 of [CDH⁺21b]:

Proposition 3.5 Let there be a sequence $e : \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{f} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{E}$ of exact functors with vanishing composite. Then, the following are equivalent:

1. e is a left-split (resp. right-split) Verdier sequence
2. e is a cofiber sequence such that f is fully-faithful and has a left (resp. right) adjoint g
3. e is a fiber sequence such that p has a fully-faithful left (resp. right) adjoint q

When either of the propositions is satisfied, then the sequence of adjoints $\mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{q} \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{g} \mathcal{C}$ is a right-split (resp. left-split) Verdier sequence.

Finally, we present the following criterion for Karoubi projections and injections, which is [CDH⁺21b] A.3.8:

Proposition 3.6 An exact functor $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is a Karoubi injection if and only if it is fully-faithful and a Karoubi projection if it has dense image and the induced $f : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{im } f$ is a Verdier projection.

The discussion above concerns fiber-cofiber sequences and but we will need more generally properties about squares.

Definition 3.7 A cartesian square of \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

is said to be a split-Verdier (resp. Verdier, resp. Karoubi) square if p is a split-Verdier (resp. Verdier, resp. Karoubi) projection.

The following is an algebraic version of [CDH⁺21b] 1.5.2.(iii) which is proven in the hermitian context:

Lemma 3.8 A Verdier square is also cocartesian in \mathbf{Cat}_∞^{Ex} . The same goes for Karoubi square in $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Idem}$ after idempotent completion.

Proof. Given a Verdier square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

then q is also a Verdier projection by [CDH⁺21b] A.1.11, and the square extends to a diagram of cartesian squares by taking \mathcal{G} to be the fiber of q :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{G} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

The left-square is cartesian and cocartesian, because q is a Verdier projection, and so the external rectangle is cartesian by the pasting law. Since p is a Karoubi projection, the external square is also cocartesian and thus the pasting law applies to give us that the right square is cocartesian.

The same proof works *mutatis mutandis* for Karoubi squares after idempotent completion. \square

3.2 Verdier- and Karoubi-localizing invariants

Recall that a functor $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between pointed categories is called *reduced* if it preserves zero objects. We are interested in the following classes of reduced functors:

Definition 3.9 Let \mathcal{E} be a ∞ -category with finite limits. A reduced functor $F : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is called:

- *additive* or *split-Verdier localizing* if it sends split-Verdier squares to cartesian squares in \mathcal{E}
- *Verdier localizing* if it sends Verdier squares to cartesian squares in \mathcal{E}
- *Karoubi localizing* if it sends Karoubi squares to cartesian squares in \mathcal{E}

Since split-Verdier sequences are Verdier and Verdier sequences are Karoubi, the above list is ordered so that each property implies those above it.

Remark 3.10 The original introduction of additive and localizing functors of [BGT13] asked furthermore that the functors preserve filtered colimits. However, this hypothesis is unused for our applications, hence we adopt a similar convention to [CDH⁺21b] Definition 1.5.4, separating the localizing property from the filtered-colimit preservation.

When the target category \mathcal{E} is stable, our definition of split-Verdier, Verdier and Karoubi localizing invariants is actually equivalent to a weaker property, namely:

Lemma 3.11 Let $F : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ be a reduced functor F landing in a stable \mathcal{E} , then F is split-Verdier localizing if and only if it sends split-Verdier *sequences* to exact sequences. The same applies when changing both instances of split-Verdier to Verdier or Karoubi.

Proof. Using the same diagram as in the proof of lemma 3.8 and applying F :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(\mathcal{G}) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{D}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{E}) & \longrightarrow & F(\mathcal{F}) \end{array}$$

we see that if F preserves say Verdier sequences, both the left square and the external rectangle are exact. Then by the pasting law, so is the right square, as wanted. \square

Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category and denote $j : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ the natural map. Since $\mathrm{Idem}(j)$ is an equivalence, $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow 0$ is a Karoubi sequence. In consequence, for any Karoubi-localizing F , we have an equivalence $F(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq F(\mathcal{C})$. In fact, the converse is true for Verdier-localizing F : such a F is Karoubi-localizing if and only if it is invariant under idempotent completion, as we now show:

Proposition 3.12 Let F be a Verdier-localizing invariant, then F is Karoubi-localizing if and only if F is invariant under idempotent completion.

Proof. The above discussion gives one direction of this equivalence. We adapt the proof of [CDH⁺21b] 1.5.6 in our context for the other.

Suppose F Verdier-localizing and invariant under idempotent-completion. Let the following square be a Karoubi square, where f and g are Karoubi projections

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow g \\ \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

By proposition 3.6, Karoubi projections factor as a Verdier projection onto its essential image followed by a fully-faithful map with dense image. Denote \mathcal{D}_0 the essential image of f and \mathcal{E}_0 that of g , then the following square commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D}_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{E}_0 \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{D} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F} \end{array}$$

where the top square is a Verdier square and the bottom vertical maps are fully-faithful with dense image. Since F is invariant under idempotent completion, $\mathcal{D}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is sent by F to an equivalence and similarly for $\mathcal{E}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. But F is Verdier-localizing so sends the top square to an exact square of \mathbf{Sp} . This concludes. \square

Finally, we end this section by giving several examples of Verdier and Karoubi localizing invariants. First, we discuss algebraic K-theory and its non-connective variant.

Recall that algebraic K-theory $K : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ and non-connective K-theory $\mathbb{K} : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Sp}$ are reduced functors preserving filtered colimits, and that the latter is invariant under idempotent completion. Moreover, if \mathcal{C} is idempotent complete, then $K(\mathcal{C})$ is the connective cover of $\mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C})$. We have the following:

Theorem 3.13 Algebraic K-theory K is Verdier localizing and non-connective K-theory \mathbb{K} is Karoubi localizing.

Proof. By Theorem 1.3 of [BGT13], we have that non-connective K-theory is Karoubi-localizing (since what they mean by localizing is the combination of being Karoubi-localizing and commuting with filtered colimits in our lingo), and that algebraic K-theory is split-Verdier localizing.

This is enough to deduce that algebraic K-theory is Verdier localizing, as in the proof of Corollary 4.4.15 of [CDH⁺21b]. We will go a slightly different route, and replace the instance of [BGT13] proving by that non-connective K-theory is Karoubi-localizing by the Special Fibration Theorem of [Bar16] (see Theorem 10.20), which gives a more direct proof of the fact that K-theory is Verdier-localizing.

Suppose $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a Verdier sequence. Then, the Ind-completion of this sequence is again a Verdier sequence by A.3.11 of [CDH⁺21b] (this is in fact the case for Karoubi sequences), and it is even right split by the subsequent remark of *loc. cit.* with both right adjoints additionally preserving colimits. Hence, we have an accessible localisation functor $L : \mathbf{Ind}(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ind}(\mathcal{E})$ between compactly generated ∞ -categories, induced by $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ and whose right adjoint is fully-faithful and preserves all colimits (so filtered ones in particular). Moreover, L -equivalences in $\mathbf{Ind}(\mathcal{D})$ are indeed generated by those between the compact objects.

Hence the Special Fibration Theorem of Barwick [Bar16] applies, and we have a fiber sequence of spaces:

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{D})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}(\mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{E}))$$

where we identified $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ with the compact objects of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ and used the letter \mathcal{K} to denote the K-theory *space*. By the cofinality theorem (see [Bar16] Theorem 10.19), for every stable \mathcal{A} , the map $K(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{A}))$ is injective on π_0 and an isomorphism on higher homotopy groups. In consequence, the map $K(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{fib}(K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{E}))$ induces an equivalence on π_n for $n \geq 1$ by the naturality of the long exact sequence of homotopy groups.

Since $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is essentially surjective², $K_0(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{E})$ is surjective and consequently the fiber $\text{fib}(K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{E}))$ is connective, so it suffices to show the isomorphism of groups $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \pi_0 \text{fib}(K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{E}))$. The map $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}))$ factors through $F = \pi_0 \text{fib}(K(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K(\mathcal{E}))$ and a diagram chase through the ladder of long exact sequences shows $F \rightarrow K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}))$ is injective, hence so is $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F$.

The surjectivity of the above map can be deduced from the fact that the following sequence of abelian groups is exact in the middle:

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D}) \longrightarrow K_0(\mathcal{E})$$

Indeed, if this is the case, then $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D})$ and $F \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{D})$ have the same image and since the former factors through the latter, the induced map $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F$ is also surjective. We are thus reduced to the exactness in the middle of the above sequence.

The right hand side map of the above sequence is surjective by essential surjectivity of $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Since it is a Verdier inclusion, $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is fully-faithful with image closed under retracts, which implies that the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{D} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Idem}(\mathcal{D}) \end{array}$$

By Thomason's classification of dense subcategories (see [CDH⁺21b] Theorem A.3.2), the square is still cartesian after applying K_0 . Indeed, the map $K_0(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})) \times_{K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{D}))} K_0(\mathcal{D})$ is always injective by instances of the cofinality theorem and Thomason's theorem ensures the surjectivity. Now, a diagram chase in the following diagram shows the exactness in the middle of the top sequence, using the fact that the bottom sequence is exact in the middle:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} K_0(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{D}) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\mathcal{E}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{D})) & \longrightarrow & K_0(\text{Idem}(\mathcal{E})) \end{array}$$

This concludes. □

Remark 3.14 A third proof of this fact has been recently given in [HLS22], Theorem 6.1. It has the notorious advantage on relying on much less machinery than the results of either Barwick or Blumberg.

We turn now our attention to topological Hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology. In [NS17], Nikolaus and Scholze define a reduced functor $THH : \text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp}) \rightarrow \text{CycSp}$ preserving filtered colimits, where CycSp denotes the presentable stable ∞ -category of cyclotomic spectra. There is an exact functor $\text{CycSp} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ which reflects equivalences and preserves colimits which extends THH to spectra³. Moreover, THH is Morita-invariant, i.e. it factors through the functor $\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{Ex, Idem}}$ which associates to a ring spectrum R its category of perfect R -modules.

Denote $\text{Morita}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp})$ the essential image of the above functor. Any object of $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{Ex, Idem}}$ can be obtained as a filtered colimit of objects of $\text{Morita}_{\mathbb{E}_1}(\text{Sp})$ (see for instance Remark 4 of Lecture 19 of [Lur14]), hence THH upgrades to a functor $\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{\text{Ex, Idem}} \rightarrow \text{CycSp}$ preserving filtered

²This crucially makes use of the Verdier hypothesis and minimally so, since a Karoubi projection is Verdier if and only if it is essentially surjective

³In particular, either of the two THH being Karoubi-localizing is enough for both to be

colimits, and finally by precomposing by $\text{Idem} : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex, Idem}$, a functor $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \text{CycSp}$.

By using the structure of cyclotomic spectra, one can define from THH another functor $\text{CycSp} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$ by letting $X \mapsto \text{Map}_{\text{CycSp}}(THH(\mathbb{S}), X)$; precomposing by THH yields $TC : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \text{Sp}$, the topological cyclic homology. It comes with a natural transformation $TC \rightarrow THH$, which factors the Dennis trace map. The resulting transformation $K \rightarrow TC$ is called the cyclotomic trace, and features prominently in the Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy Theorem (see 1.2 of [NS17]).

The property of THH and TC which is of particular interest to us is the following:

Theorem 3.15 Topological Hochschild homology THH and topological cyclic homology TC are Karoubi-localizing.

Proof. Proposition 10.2 of [BGT13] is the first part of the theorem and the second comes as a consequence of Proposition 10.8. Since our definition of Karoubi-localizing does not involve preservation of filtered colimits, it is stable by limits, hence the TC^n being Karoubi-localizing implies that TC itself is Karoubi-localizing.

Another proof of the first fact can be assembled from [HSS17] Theorem 3.4 and Proposition 4.24, which identify the higher trace of the endofunctor $\text{id} : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ with the spectrum $THH(\mathcal{C})$. \square

4 The Projective Line

4.1 The Projective Line as a pullback

Let S^1 be the ∞ -groupoid corresponding to the 1-sphere, i.e. the coherent nerve of \mathbb{Z} seen as a discrete simplicial category. We let S_+^1 and S_-^1 be the ∞ -categories corresponding to the inclusions of monoids $\mathbb{N}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{N}_- \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. These are the same ∞ -categories⁴ and only their identification within S^1 differs.

Definition 4.1 The functors $S_\pm^1 \rightarrow S^1$ induce exact functors $S^1 \otimes_{S_\pm^1} - : S_\pm^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$, which we call the *telescopes*. To shorten the notation, we let $T_\pm = S^1 \otimes_{S_\pm^1} -$.

Remark 4.2 In the construction 2.2, the telescope T_+ is the left Kan extension along $S_+^1 \rightarrow S^1$ of functors $S_+^1 \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $S_+^1 \simeq B\mathbb{N}$ and $S^1 \simeq B\mathbb{Z}$, this left Kan extension can be explicitly constructed by freely inverting the action of t the generator of \mathbb{N} . This can be done via the standard procedure for freely adding inverses, namely taking the filtered colimit in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ of the repeated action of t on $V(*)$ for a functor $V : S_+^1 \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, and endowing it with the natural action of t which is now invertible.

Pulling back along the two telescopes $T_\pm : S_\pm^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ yields a stable ∞ -category we call the *Projective Line*.

Definition 4.3 Let $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ the ∞ -category defined by the following pullback square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S_+^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} & \longrightarrow & S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \end{array}$$

The ∞ -category $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is stable by [Lur17] 1.1.4.2 and we call it the *Projective Line* of \mathcal{C} .

⁴They are ∞ -categories because they are fibrant simplicial ∞ -categories, since discrete simplicial spaces are Kan complexes

Remark 4.4 Since the inclusion $\mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}_\infty$ preserves limits, we can see $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ as a pullback in \mathbf{Cat}_∞ , where pullbacks enjoy an explicit description as homotopy limits for the Joyal model structure on \mathbf{sSet} , the category of simplicial set. Hence, $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ has objects triples (Y_-, Y, Y_+) with given equivalences $T_\pm(Y_\pm) \simeq Y$, where $Y_+ \in S_+^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$, $Y_- \in S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $Y \in S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

We now show algebraic K-theory, and in fact more generally, any Verdier-localizing invariants sends the square defining the Projective line to a cartesian square of spectra. By the theory of section 3, it suffices to show the square is a Verdier square, i.e. that the telescopes are Verdier projections.

Lemma 4.5 The telescopes $T_\pm : S_\pm^1 \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ are Verdier projections.

Proof. We show more generally that if $K \rightarrow L$ is a localisation functor, then $K \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is a Verdier projection. This is in particular the case for the telescopes, since they are induced by $B\mathbb{N} \simeq S_\pm^1 \rightarrow S^1 \simeq B\mathbb{Z}$. This is a version of [CDH⁺21b] 1.4.10 (i) in the algebraic context.

By the universal property of tensor categories, we have the following commutative square for every stable \mathcal{D} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Fun}^{Ex}(L \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Fun}(L, \mathrm{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Fun}^{Ex}(K \otimes \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Fun}(K, \mathrm{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})) \end{array}$$

When $K \rightarrow L$ is a localization inverting a class of arrows \mathcal{W} , the right vertical map is fully-faithful with essential image functors $K \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}^{Ex}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ sending \mathcal{W} to natural equivalences. Since horizontal maps are equivalences, the left vertical map is also fully-faithful and its essential image is exactly functors $K \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ which send arrows of \mathcal{W}' to equivalences, where \mathcal{W}' is the class of arrows induced by a pair (f, id) with $f \in \mathcal{W}$ and id the identity of some object in \mathcal{C} . In consequence, $K \otimes \mathcal{C} \rightarrow L \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is a localization. By proposition 3.4, this concludes. \square

Corollary 4.6 The cartesian square defining $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is a Verdier square. In particular, for any Verdier localizing invariant F , the following square of spectra is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & F(S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(S_+^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

4.2 An explicit calculation for the Projective Line

The preceding section gave a straightforward understanding of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ under a Verdier localizing invariant, namely it is a pullback of some sort. The goal of this section is to show it is possible to make an effective calculation of $F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}))$ under slightly stricter hypotheses on either our Verdier invariant F or our stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} . This is achieved through the following theorem:

Theorem 4.7 Let $F : \mathbf{Cat}_\infty^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ be a Verdier-localizing invariant and \mathcal{C} a stable idempotent-complete ∞ -category. There is an equivalence of spectra $F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 4.8 The above theorem is our version of the *projective bundle formula* of Theorem 4.2.5 in [CK20]. Our proof will be significantly longer as we cannot (and do not want to) rely on the spectral algebraic geometry developed in [Lurng].

The remainder of the section is dedicated to the proof. Fix a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , which we will suppose furthermore idempotent complete after lemma 4.12. To construct the equivalence of the theorem, we introduce a functor $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ which we will show is a left-split Verdier projection. We will then identify its fiber as none other than \mathcal{C} , and the equivalence of the theorem will follow

from the splitting lemma.

For the construction of Φ , recall the explicit description of the objects of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ of 4.4. Objects are triplets (Y_-, Y, Y_+) coming with equivalences $T_{\pm}(Y_{\pm}) \simeq Y$. Equivalently, by the adjunction between left Kan extensions and precompositions, these are maps $Y_{\pm} \rightarrow i_{\pm}(Y)$ of $\text{Fun}(S_{\pm}^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$, where i_{\pm} is the forgetful functor associated to $S_{\pm}^1 \rightarrow S^1$ (see Definition 2.7).

In consequence, to each object of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is functorially associated a map $Y_- \oplus Y_+ \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ where Y_- , Y and Y_+ should be their image by the respective forgetful functors, which we abusively denoted the same way to avoid unnecessary notation clutter. This defines a functor $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Map}(\Delta^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$.

Definition 4.9 Let $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ be the functor defined as the composite

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\Delta^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \xrightarrow{\text{fib}} \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$$

On objects, this is the fiber of $Y_- \oplus Y_+ \rightarrow Y$, namely, we have the following exact square in $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi(Y_-, Y, Y_+) & \longrightarrow & Y_- \oplus Y_+ \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

In the original [HKV⁺01], instead of the functor Φ , the authors used a slightly different construction: the global section functor Γ , obtained by taking the cofiber of the map $Y_- \oplus Y_+ \rightarrow Y$ instead of the fiber. This was necessary in the context of *loc. cit.* since the Waldhausen categories considered were not supposed to have all finite limits. In our stable setting where both exist, we found the fiber to be easier to work with and hence replaced instances of Γ by Φ . This is merely by convenience: all of the following could be done by replacing Φ by Γ and suitably changing the proofs.

We also need to consider shift functors, which are the higher categorical version of those in [HKV⁺01]:

Definition 4.10 Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the n -shift functor $[n] : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is the functor given on objects by sending a triple (Y_-, Y, Y_+) to the same triple but where the equivalence $T_-(Y_-) \simeq Y$ is composed by the equivalence $t^n : Y \rightarrow Y$.

There is an arbitrary choice made in working with the S_-^1 -component for shifts, and one could define a shift on the S_+^1 -side. However, a triple (Y_-, Y, Y_+) shifted on the Y_+ -side is equivalent in $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ to a shift on the Y_- -side of the same triple, the equivalence being induced by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T_-(Y_-) & \longrightarrow & Y & \xleftarrow{t^n} & T_+(Y_+) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow t^{-n} & & \parallel \\ T_-(Y_-) & \xrightarrow{t^{-n}} & Y & \xleftarrow{\quad} & T_+(Y_+) \end{array}$$

In the following, whenever we mention a shift on the S_+^1 -components, we also implicitly apply the above equivalence to get a S_-^1 -shift.

Using shifts, one can lift any map between the $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ -components of objects of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ to a map of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ itself.

Lemma 4.11 Let $y = (Y_-, Y, Y_+)$ and $z = (Z_-, Z, Z_+)$ be two objects of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ and $F : Y \rightarrow Z$. Then, there exists $z' \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ which only differs from z by shifts and a map $f : y \rightarrow z'$ whose component $Y \rightarrow Z$ is the prescribed F up to a shift of a power of t .

Proof. We appeal to the description of the telescope of Remark 4.2. As an object of $S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ (i.e. after forgetting the extra structure), Z is the filtered colimit of Z_- under the action of t . But Y_- is compact in $\text{Fun}(S_-^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ since it belongs to $S_-^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$, hence the map $Y_- \rightarrow Z$ induced by the

equivalence $T_-(Y_-) \simeq Y$ factors through Z_- at some finite point, i.e. through some finite shift of Z_- . This, with the analogous procedure for Y_+ and Z_+ (see the remark above), gives a globally finite object z' with the same components as z but shifted equivalences $T_\pm(Z_\pm) \simeq Z$, and z' comes with a well-defined map $f : y \rightarrow z'$ with the wanted $F : Y \rightarrow Z$ up to a shift by a power of t as its middle component. \square

The crucial observation is that Φ lands in the subcategory of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ of compact objects, namely $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, when \mathcal{C} is idempotent complete, this is the functor $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ we were looking for.

Lemma 4.12 For any $y \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$, we have $\Phi(y) \in \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$.

Proof. Any object $X \in \mathcal{C}$ gives rise to an object of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ by taking respective left Kan extensions $L_{*,X}^\pm$ and $L_{*,X}$ of the constant functor $* \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ associated to X along the inclusions of the point in S^1_\pm and respectively S^1 . Those left Kan extensions are compatible with the telescopes, i.e. there are equivalences $T_\pm(L_{*,X}^\pm) \simeq L_{*,X}$ in $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$. Hence, the triple $(L_{*,X}^+, L_{*,X}, L_{*,X}^-)$ actually defines an object of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$.

The ∞ -category of such objects and their shifts need unfortunately not be stable, but we can consider $\mathbb{P}^{gf}(\mathcal{C})$, the smallest full stable subcategory of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ containing the above objects as well as their shifts. We call objects of $\mathbb{P}^{gf}(\mathcal{C})$ *globally finite*.

Our first claim is that Φ maps globally finite objects to \mathcal{C} . Indeed, once we have forgotten the extra structure, then we simply have $L_{*,X} \simeq \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} X$ and $L_{*,X}^\pm \simeq \coprod_{\mathbb{N}_\pm} X$ as objects of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, and the map $L_{*,X}^+ \oplus L_{*,X}^- \simeq L_{*,X}$ is

$$\coprod_{\mathbb{N}_+} X \oplus \coprod_{\mathbb{N}_-} X \longrightarrow \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} X$$

which is the inclusion of each summand along the inclusions $\mathbb{N}_\pm \subset \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, upon shifting once, we have an equivalence⁵ which means $\Phi((L_{*,X}^+, L_{*,X}, L_{*,X}^-)[-1]) \simeq 0$ and shifting either adds copies of X or copies of ΩX , depending on whether copies of X are missed or mapped-to twice. Since Φ is an exact functor, it preserves finite colimits and thus sends globally finite objects to \mathcal{C} .

Let $y = (Y_-, Y, Y_+) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$. We claim we can find a globally finite object $z = (Z_-, Z, Z_+)$ with a map $F = (f_-, f, f_+) : y \rightarrow z$ such that the component $f : Y \rightarrow Z$ is an equivalence. Indeed, by lemma 4.11, it suffices to build a globally finite object z with an equivalence $F : Y \rightarrow Z$. Letting $Z = Y$ and F be the identity, this reduces to building a globally finite object whose middle component is any prescribed $Z \in S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$.

Using that $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ is the smallest full stable subcategory of $\text{Fun}(S^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$ containing the free $L_{*,X}$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and that $(L_{*,X}^+, L_{*,X}, L_{*,X}^-)$ is a suitable globally finite object with middle term $L_{*,X}$, the above property follows from the stability by pushout of "being the middle component of a globally finite object". Given a pushout square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Z & \longrightarrow & T \end{array}$$

and globally finite objects (X_-, X, X_+) , (Y_-, Y, Y_+) and (Z_-, Z, Z_+) , one can lift the span $Z \leftarrow X \rightarrow Y$ to $\mathbb{P}^{gf}(\mathcal{C})$ using Lemma 4.11 up to shifting the given globally finite objects. Then, taking the actual pushout in $\mathbb{P}^{gf}(\mathcal{C})$ is done component-wise (the inclusion $\mathbb{P}^{gf}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is exact by definition), hence gives a globally finite object (T_-, T, T_+) whose middle component is the prescribed T . This proves our claim.

Having chosen such a globally finite object z with a map F , we can consider the cokernel of F , which is an object of $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ obtained as the following triple $\text{coker}(F) \simeq (\text{coker}(f_-), 0, \text{coker}(f_+))$. In consequence, it verifies

$$\Phi(\text{coker}(F)) = \text{coker}(f_-) \oplus \text{coker}(f_+)$$

⁵We remind here the reader that what we call \mathbb{N} is a monoid, hence contains 0, in good Bourbaki fashion, and thus there is a double identification of the zeroth summand in the above map.

where the $\text{coker}(f_{\pm})$, originally computed in $S_{\pm}^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$, are now considered as objects of $\text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ (i.e. there is an implicit forgetful functor $\text{fgt}_{S_{\pm}^1}$).

We now show that in fact, $\text{coker}(f_{\pm}) \in \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$. In $S_{\pm}^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$, we have a natural map $\text{coker}(f_{-}) \rightarrow T_{-}(\text{coker}(f_{-}))$ induced by the identity of $T_{-}(\text{coker}(f_{-}))$. Since $T_{-}(\text{coker}(f_{-})) = 0$ and $\text{coker}(f_{-})$ is compact in $\text{Fun}(S_{-}^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))$, the filtered colimit description guarantees that there is some $m \geq 0$ such that $t^m : \text{coker}(f_{-}) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f_{-})$ is the zero map. In consequence, the identity $\text{coker}(f_{-}) \rightarrow \text{coker}(f_{-})$ factors through $\text{coker}(t^m)$. But $\text{coker}(t^m) \in \mathcal{C}$, indeed this is clear if $\text{coker}(f_{-})$ is of the form $L_{*,X}^{-}$ and in general, $\text{coker}(f_{-})$ is a finite colimit of such objects, which commute to the formation of $\text{coker}(t^m)$. This shows the $\text{coker}(f_{\pm})$ are retracts of objects of \mathcal{C} , hence are in $\text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$. In consequence, $\Phi(\text{coker}(F)) \in \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ since the latter is stable by direct sum.

Since Φ preserves finite colimits, the following square is exact:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi(y) & \xrightarrow{\Phi(F)} & \Phi(z) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Phi(\text{coker}(F)) \end{array}$$

We have $\Phi(z) \in \mathcal{C}$ and $\Phi(\text{coker}(F)) \in \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ hence the fiber $\Phi(y) \in \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ as wanted. This concludes. \square

Hence, we have a well-defined map $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$. In particular, when \mathcal{C} is idempotent complete, **which we now suppose for the rest of the section**, we have a functor $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$. Our goal is first to show that Φ is a left-split Verdier projection and then to identify its fiber with \mathcal{C} . First, let us give a name to the construction we used at the beginning of the lemma.

Definition 4.13 There is a map $\psi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ which is the left Kan extensions $L_{*,X}^{\pm}$ and $L_{*,X}$ on the respective components. It is well-defined because we have equivalences $T_{\pm}(L_{*,X}^{\pm}) \simeq L_{*,X}$ which are natural in X .

We will show ψ_0 is the wanted left adjoint of Φ . As a preliminary remark, we have the following calculation:

Lemma 4.14 We have an equivalence $\Phi \circ \psi_0(X) \simeq X$ for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Proof. By definition, $\Phi(\psi_0(X))$ is the fiber of $\coprod_{\mathbb{N}_+} X \oplus \coprod_{\mathbb{N}_-} X \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} X$. This is simply the projection $X \oplus \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} X \rightarrow \coprod_{\mathbb{Z}} X$, which has fiber X . \square

We can now show the following:

Proposition 4.15 Φ is a left-split Verdier projection.

Proof. In order to show this, we only need showing Φ has a fully-faithful left adjoint, by 3.5. We show it is in fact given by the functor $\psi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$. Since $\Phi \circ \psi_0 \simeq \text{id}$ by Lemma 4.14, it will follow from the adjunction that ψ_0 is fully-faithful.

The functor Φ is actually the restriction of a more general $\Psi : \text{Ind}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, where $\text{Ind}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}))$ is the following pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Ind}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(S_{+}^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Fun}(S_{-}^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) & \longrightarrow & \text{Fun}(S^1, \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})) \end{array}$$

and Ψ is given by the same formula. Clearly, Ψ has a left adjoint $\Psi_0 : \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ind}(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}))$ which gives component-wise the left Kan extension of a point $X \in \text{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ along the inclusion $* \rightarrow S_{\pm}^1$.

Restricting Ψ to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ gives $\Phi : \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ since \mathcal{C} is idempotent-complete and restricting Ψ_0 to \mathcal{C} yields $\psi_0 : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ hence the adjunction descends to the restrictions. \square

Since Φ is a left-split Verdier projection, the following fiber sequence is a left-split Verdier sequence:

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\Phi} \mathcal{C}$$

where the superscript Φ indicates the fiber of Φ . Hence, this sequence will split under a Verdier localizing invariant. Thus, to prove the theorem, it now suffices to identify $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi$ with \mathcal{C} .

Recall the definitions of the n -shifts functors $[n]$ given in 4.10. Clearly, $[n]$ and $[-n]$ are inverses of one another, and the proof of the lemma 4.14 shows $\psi_0(X)[-1]$ lies in the fiber of Φ . Thus we have an adjoint pair $\Phi \circ [1]$ and $[-1] \circ \psi_0$ which descends to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi$ and \mathcal{C} . Since $[-1] \circ \psi_0$ is again fully-faithful, this means $\Phi \circ [1]$ is also a right-split Verdier projection and we have the following right-split Verdier sequence:

$$(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi)^{\Phi \circ [1]} \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

where the superscript $\Phi \circ [1]$ denotes again the fiber. This right-split Verdier sequence will once again split under a Verdier localizing invariant. Thus to conclude, it suffices to show the fiber $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi)^{\Phi \circ [1]}$ is zero, which is done in the following lemma:

Lemma 4.16 For any \mathcal{C} stable, we have $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi)^{\Phi \circ [1]} \simeq 0$.

Proof. Let $y = (Y_-, Y, Y_+) \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$, there is a map $u : y \rightarrow [1]y$ which is the identity on Y and Y_- and $t^{-1} : Y_- \rightarrow Y_-$ on the first term. There is also another map $d : y \rightarrow [1]y$ which has the identity on Y_- and $t : Y \rightarrow Y$ as well as $t : Y_+ \rightarrow Y_+$. Those maps can be shifted by $[-1]$ and clearly the composites $d \circ u[-1]$ and $u \circ d[-1] : [-1]y \rightarrow [1]y$ are equivalent, since it is the case component-wise. Hence we have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [-1](y) & \longrightarrow & (y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (y) & \longrightarrow & [1](y) \end{array}$$

This square is in fact exact; by [Lur08] 5.4.5.5, it suffices that the two projections on $S_\pm^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ of this square are exact, which is clear since horizontal morphisms are identities and vertical morphisms identical.

The functor $[n]$ preserves cartesian squares since it is an equivalence, hence we more generally have a cartesian square for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [n-1](y) & \longrightarrow & [n](y) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [n](y) & \longrightarrow & [n+1](y) \end{array}$$

Since Φ is exact, it preserves pullbacks and we have a third cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Phi([n-1](y)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi([n](y)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Phi([n](y)) & \longrightarrow & \Phi([n+1](y)) \end{array}$$

Thus, if $y \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})$ is such that both $\Phi(y) \simeq 0$ and $\Phi \circ [1](y) \simeq 0$, i.e. an element of $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi)^{\Phi \circ [1]}$, then so are every $\Phi \circ [n](y) \simeq 0$ for every integer n . Hence, when $y \in (\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^\Phi)^{\Phi \circ [1]}$, the following exact sequence

$$\Phi \circ [n](y) \longrightarrow Y_- \oplus Y_+ \xrightarrow{t^n \alpha_- \oplus \alpha_+} Y$$

gives that $t^n \alpha_- \oplus \alpha_+$ is an equivalence.

To conclude, our proof now takes a detour through homotopy groups of a stable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , so let us recall quickly what they are. For $X \in \mathcal{C}$, we denote $\pi_X(-) := \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, -)$, the zeroth homotopy group of the spectrum⁶ $\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, -)$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the stability of \mathcal{C} implies that taking π_n instead of π_0 in the preceding formula simply changes π_X to $\pi_{\Sigma^n X}$. In consequence, since $\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$ is generated under filtered colimits by \mathcal{C} , the Yoneda lemma implies that the π_X jointly detect equivalences for $X \in \mathcal{C}$.

Using remark 4.2, the equivalences $T_{\pm}(Y_{\pm}) \simeq Y$ show that Y is the filtered colimit of a tower of Y_{\pm} where the maps are induced by the action of $t^{\pm 1}$. For any $X \in \mathcal{C}$, $\operatorname{Map}_{\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})}(X, -)$ preserves filtered colimits because X is compact in $\operatorname{Ind}(\mathcal{C})$, hence for any $v_+ \in \pi_X(Y_+)$, its image $\alpha_+(v_+)$ in $\pi_X(Y)$ can be realised as some $t^n \alpha_-(v_-)$ for some $v_- \in \pi_X(Y_-)$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. But then, $\alpha_+ \oplus t^n \alpha_-$ sends $(v_+, -v_-)$ to zero in $\pi_X(Y)$. Since it is also an isomorphism by above, this means v_+ was zero to start with.

Hence, $\pi_X(Y_+) = 0$ for all compact X , meaning $Y_+ \simeq 0$ and dually $Y_- \simeq 0$. In consequence, $Y \simeq T_+(0) \simeq 0$, and finally $y = 0$. Hence we have shown that $(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C})^{\Phi})^{\Phi \circ [1]}$ is zero as wanted. \square

This concludes the proof of Theorem 4.7.

5 The Fundamental Theorem of Verdier-localizing invariants and its consequences

Assembling the results from the preceding section gives us the following: for any Verdier-localizing F and any stable idempotent-complete \mathcal{C} , the following square is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1_- \otimes \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(S^1_+ \otimes \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

Our first subsection shows how to turn this cartesian square into the announced Theorem 1.3 (5.1 in the text) and its corollary Theorem 1.4 when F is furthermore Karoubi-localizing (5.2 in the text). In the subsequent subsections, we draw consequences from this Theorem for algebraic K-theory of spaces, algebraic K-theory of rings and topological Hochschild homology as well as topological cyclic homology.

5.1 Main results

This section is dedicated to the proof of our main theorem, namely:

Theorem 5.1 Let \mathcal{C} be a stable idempotent complete ∞ -category and $F : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}$ a Verdier-localizing invariant. Then, we have the following equivalence of spectra:

$$F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- F(\mathcal{C})$$

where $N_{\pm} F(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent nil-terms.

From the preceding section, we have a cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1_- \otimes \mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F(S^1_+ \otimes \mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

The top left corner is obtained by composing the square of 4.6 and the equivalence $F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{C}))$ of Theorem 4.7 induced by ψ_0 . Remark that by Lemma 4.14, both arrows $F(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow$

⁶Recall that stable ∞ -categories are naturally enriched in Sp

$F(S^1_{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{C})$ have a retraction given by the map induced by Φ , hence they split in Sp . We thus have an equivalence:

$$F(S^1_{\pm} \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_{\pm}F(\mathcal{C})$$

where $N_{\pm}F(\mathcal{C})$ is the fiber of the respective splitting map. For the same reasons, $F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C})$ splits as $F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \mathcal{P}$ with some fiber \mathcal{P} . The maps $F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus F(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_{\pm}F(\mathcal{C})$ are by definition zero on the nil-term. Hence, taking the fiber by the first projection, we have the following cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & N_-F(\mathcal{C}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_+F(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \end{array}$$

where both maps $F(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow N_{\pm}F(\mathcal{C})$ are zero. All of the above construction are natural hence the above square defines an exact sequence of functors $F \rightarrow N_+F \oplus N_- \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, where the first map is nullhomotopic. This means we have in fact a commutative diagram in $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex}, \mathrm{Sp})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & 0 & \longrightarrow & N_+F \oplus N_-F \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \Sigma F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P} \end{array}$$

The left square is exact by definition and the outer one by the above. The pasting law then implies the right square is also exact. Since the suspension is computed pointwise, we have the following equivalence, natural in stable idempotent-complete \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathcal{P} \simeq \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_-F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+F(\mathcal{C})$$

Given that $F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \mathcal{P}$, this concludes the proof of the main theorem.

In the specific case where F is Karoubi-localizing, which is exactly asking it is Verdier-localizing and invariant under idempotent completion by Proposition 3.12, our statement works more generally for any stable \mathcal{C} . This is because $S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}$ and $S^1 \otimes \mathrm{Idem}(\mathcal{C})$ have the same idempotent completion, namely $S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))^c$. Hence in that case, we can further replace \otimes by $\hat{\otimes}$ in the formula of 5.1.

Theorem 5.2 Let \mathcal{C} be *any* stable ∞ -category and $F : \mathbf{Cat}_{\infty}^{Ex} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}$ a Karoubi-localizing invariant. Then, we have the following equivalence of spectra:

$$F(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_-F(\mathcal{C})$$

where $N_{\pm}F(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent nil-terms extended from Theorem 5.1 in the obvious way.

Since $\mathrm{Idem}(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C}) = S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Fun}(S^1, \mathrm{Ind}(\mathcal{C}))^c$, we also have the following equivalence of spectra:

$$F(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+F(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_-F(\mathcal{C})$$

which is often the more practical formula of the two.

In the rest of this section, we will exclusively draw consequences from Theorem 5.2. As a first corollary, recall that Theorem 3.13 states that non-connective K-theory \mathbb{K} is Karoubi localizing, hence the following formula:

Corollary 5.3 We have the following equivalence of spectra for a stable \mathcal{C} :

$$\mathbb{K}(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- \mathbb{K}(\mathcal{C})$$

Remark 5.4 Theorem 3.13 also states that (connective) algebraic K-theory is Verdier-localizing, so one may be tempted to apply Theorem 5.1 directly, without going through the non-connective version. However, the formula obtained this way differs in π_0 from the usual formula of the fundamental theorem of K-theory.

Indeed, the formula misses the term induced by the non-connective delooping, since the suspension of a connective spectra is always connected. This does not make the formula incorrect (thankfully!), however, since $K(S^1 \otimes \mathcal{C})$ is not $K(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C})$, and differs exactly by a factor in π_0 per Wall's finiteness obstruction (see [Lur14] lecture 15, Theorem 17 for a modern version of this).

This exemplifies the reason we will mostly be using this second version in the following, even when we want results about connective K-theory which is not Karoubi-localizing: the usual formulas of the literature such as [Gra76] or [HKV⁺01] involve non-connective terms which cannot be obtained without the non-connective input of negative K-groups, i.e. non-connective K-theory. Still, the first formula will find some use, notably in establishing a version of the formula for the finite version of algebraic K-theory of space.

5.2 The Fundamental Theorem for algebraic K-theory of spaces

In this short subsection, we explain how Corollary 5.3 can be used to extend the fundamental theorem of algebraic K-theory of spaces, proved in [HKV⁺01], to a non-connective version. From this, we will also be able to deduce their version for the connective K-theory of spaces, and actually extend it to the context of spectra.

We shortly recall how algebraic K-theory of spaces is defined in our ∞ -categorical context (see for instance Lecture 21 of [Lur14]). Let X be a space, which in the following will mean a simplicial set which is an ∞ -groupoid. We are interested in two stable ∞ -categories $\mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})^{fin}$ and its idempotent completion $\mathrm{Fun}(BX, \mathrm{Sp})^c$. The latter is the subcategory of compact objects of $\mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})$ and the former is the smallest stable full subcategory containing all the left Kan extension along the inclusion $* \subset BX$ of constant functors to Sp .

We denote $A^f(X)$ the connective K-theory of the first and $A^{fd}(X)$ that of the second; they are respectively the *finite* and *finitely dominated* version of the algebraic K-theory of the space X . Taking non-connective algebraic K-theory of either yields a non-connective K-theory of the space X , which we denote $\mathbb{A}^{fd}(X)$. The original version of the A-functor, defined by Waldhausen in [Wal85], is the finite version and the finitely dominated one, which only differs in its π_0 (a phenomenon related to Wall's finiteness obstruction, see [Lur14]), was introduced in [HKV⁺01] in order to prove the same formula we are now going to produce.

By lemma 2.5 and the subsequent remark, if X is a space, then the idempotent completion of $S^1 \otimes \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})^c$ is $\mathrm{Fun}(X \times S^1, \mathrm{Sp})^c$ since $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})^c) \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})$. Moreover, the explicit construction of the tensor product given by Proposition 2.2 gives an equivalence $S^1 \otimes \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})^{fin} \simeq \mathrm{Fun}(X \times S^1, \mathrm{Sp})^{fin}$.

Applying Corollary 5.3 with $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Fun}(X, \mathrm{Sp})^c$ then gives the following:

Theorem 5.5 Let X be a space. Then, we have the following splitting of non-connective K-theory:

$$\mathbb{A}^{fd}(S^1 \times X) \simeq \mathbb{A}^{fd}(X) \oplus \Sigma \mathbb{A}^{fd}(X) \oplus N_+ \mathbb{A}^{fd}(X) \oplus N_- \mathbb{A}^{fd}(X)$$

where $N_{\pm} \mathbb{A}^{fd}(X)$ are equivalent nil-terms.

Taking the connective cover of the theorem yields the known formula of [HKV⁺01] since all categories in question are idempotent complete. Namely, we have:

Corollary 5.6 Let X be a space. Then, we have the following splitting of finitely-dominated algebraic K-theory of spaces:

$$A^{fd}(S^1 \times X) \simeq A^{fd}(X) \oplus BA^{fd}(X) \oplus N_+ A^{fd}(X) \oplus N_- A^{fd}(X)$$

where $N_{\pm} A^{fd}(X)$ are equivalent nil-terms and $BA(X)$ is the (non-connective) delooping of $A(X)$ which has $\pi_{-1} A^{fd}(X)$ as its π_0 .

As we explained before, it is not possible to deduce from this formula a version for finite

algebraic K-theory of spaces, because we rely crucially on the idempotent-completeness of the stable ∞ -categories in question, and the finite categories of module are not idempotent-complete.

5.3 The Fundamental Theorem for K-theory of ring spectra

The result of the previous section falls in fact in a more general setting: non-connective K-theory of arbitrary ring spectra, i.e. A_∞ -ring objects of Sp aka \mathbb{E}_1 -ring objects of Sp . The non-connective K-theory of a stable ∞ -category can always be expressed as the (filtered colimit of) non-connective K-theory of ring spectra, as explained in [Lur14] (Lecture 19, Remark 4), hence this is as much a general statement as our main theorem.

For algebraic K-theory of a space X , this ring spectrum is $\mathbb{S}[\Omega X]$. Since $\mathbb{S}[\Omega X][t, t^{-1}] \simeq \mathbb{S}[\Omega(X \times S^1)]$, the version of the fundamental theorem for ring spectrum, which we will now explicit, recovers as a special case the formula of the section above for algebraic K-theory of spaces.

We denote $R\text{-Mod}$ the ∞ -category of R -module spectra, and $\mathrm{Perf}(R)$ its subcategory of compact objects. We let $\mathbb{K}(R)$ and $K(R)$ be the non-connective and regular K-theory of $\mathrm{Perf}(R)$. Just as for algebraic K-theory of spaces, the idempotent completion of $S^1 \otimes \mathrm{Perf}(R)$ is $\mathrm{Perf}(R[t, t^{-1}])$, using that the Ind-construction of $\mathrm{Perf}(R)$ is $R\text{-Mod}$, and denoting $R[t, t^{-1}]$ the ring spectrum of Laurent polynomials in R .

Applying Corollary 5.3 to $\mathcal{C} = \mathrm{Perf}(R)$ yields the following fundamental theorem for algebraic K-theory of ring spectra:

Theorem 5.7 For R a ring spectrum, we have the following splitting of non-connective K-theory:

$$\mathbb{K}(R[t, t^{-1}]) \simeq \mathbb{K}(R) \oplus \Sigma \mathbb{K}(R) \oplus N_+ \mathbb{K}(R) \oplus N_- \mathbb{K}(R)$$

where $N_\pm \mathbb{K}(R)$ are equivalent nil-terms.

In particular, taking connective covers gives a formula for the connective K-theory of a ring spectrum:

Theorem 5.8 For R a ring spectrum, we have the following splitting of connective K-theory:

$$K(R[t, t^{-1}]) \simeq K(R) \oplus \mathcal{BK}(R) \oplus N_+ K(R) \oplus N_- K(R)$$

where $N_\pm K(R)$ are nil-terms obtained as the connective covers of $N_\pm \mathbb{K}(R)$ and $\mathcal{BK}(R)$ a non-connective delooping of $K(R)$, whose π_0 is $\mathbb{K}_{-1}(R)$.

Remark 5.9 For an ordinary ring R , the Eilenberg-Mac Lane spectrum HR is a ring spectrum. By the stable Dold-Kan correspondence, $HR\text{-Mod}$ coincide with the ordinary category of chain complexes of R -modules, and $\mathrm{Perf}(HR)$ to bounded chain complexes of projective finite-type R -modules (see [Lur14], Lecture 19). Hence, by dévissage, $K(R)$ coincides with the usual definition for an ordinary ring R .

Since $(HR)[t, t^{-1}] \simeq H(R[t, t^{-1}])$, the preceding theorem recovers the usual Bass-Heller-Swan fundamental theorem of the K-theory of rings.

Like in the case of algebraic K-theory of spaces, we could also consider the smallest stable subcategory of $R\text{-Mod}$ containing R , that we denote $R\text{-Mod}^{fin}$. It is contained in $\mathrm{Perf}(R)$, which is its idempotent completion, and taking its K-theory plays a similar role to finite algebraic K-theory of spaces with regards to its finitely-dominated variant. However, due to those categories not being idempotent-complete and connective K-theory not being Karoubi localizing, we cannot apply either of our results.

5.4 The fundamental theorem for THH and TC

By Theorem 3.15, topological Hochschild homology and topological cyclic homology, central

tools of trace methods, are Karoubi-localizing. In consequence, Theorem 5.2 applies to them and gives Bass-Heller-Swan formulas for those invariants which have never appeared in the literature.

Theorem 5.10 Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category, then we have the two following equivalence of spectra:

- $THH(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq THH(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma THH(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ THH(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- THH(\mathcal{C})$
- $TC(S^1 \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{C}) \simeq TC(\mathcal{C}) \oplus \Sigma TC(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_+ TC(\mathcal{C}) \oplus N_- TC(\mathcal{C})$

where $N_+ THH(\mathcal{C})$ and $N_- THH(\mathcal{C})$ are equivalent nilterms and similarly for $N_+ TC(\mathcal{C})$ and $N_- TC(\mathcal{C})$.

In particular, since we have the formulas for algebraic K-theory, THH and TC , the Dennis trace map and the cyclotomic trace preserve the decompositions of the fundamental theorem.

The same specialisation as for algebraic K-theory above can be done for THH and TC of ring spectra. In particular, knowing that $THH(\mathbb{S}) = \mathbb{S}$, we find that

$$THH(\mathbb{S}[t, t^{-1}]) = \mathbb{S} \oplus \Sigma \mathbb{S} \oplus N_+ THH(\mathbb{S}) \oplus N_- THH(\mathbb{S})$$

where the last two summands are equivalent.

References

- [Bar16] Clark Barwick. On the algebraic K-theory of higher categories. *Journal of Topology*, Volume 9:245 – 357, March 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1112/jtopol/jtv042>.
- [BGT13] Andrew J. Blumberg, David Gepner, and Goncalo Tabuada. A universal characterization of higher algebraic K-theory. *Geometry & Topology*, 17(2):733 – 838, 2013.
- [CDH⁺21a] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonathan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories I: Foundations. *Preprint*, 2021.
- [CDH⁺21b] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonathan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories II: Cobordism categories and additivity. *Preprint*, 2021.
- [CDH⁺21c] Baptiste Calmès, Emanuele Dotto, Yonathan Harpaz, Fabian Hebestreit, Markus Land, Kristian Moi, Denis Nardin, Thomas Nikolaus, and Wolfgang Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories III: Grothendieck-witt groups of rings. *Preprint*, 2021.
- [CK20] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Adeel A. Khan. a^1 -homotopy invariance in spectral algebraic geometry. *Preprint*, 2020.
- [FO18] Ernest E. Fontes and Crichton Ogle. Fundamental theorem for the K-theory of connective S-algebras. *Preprint*, 2018.
- [Gra76] Daniel Grayson. Higher algebraic K-theory. II (after Daniel Quillen). *Algebraic K-theory (Proc. Conf., Northwestern Univ., Evanston, Ill., 1976)*, 1976.
- [HKV⁺01] Thomas Hüttemann, John R. Klein, Wolrad Vogell, Friedhelm Waldhausen, and Bruce Williams. The “fundamental theorem” for the algebraic K-theory of spaces: I. *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, 160(1):21 – 52, 2001.
- [HLS22] Fabian Hebestreit, Andrea Lachmann, and Wolfgang Steimle. The localisation theorem for the k-theory of stable ∞ -categories. *Preprint*, 2022.
- [HSS17] Marc Hoyois, Sarah Scherotzke, and Nicolò Sibilla. Higher traces, noncommutative motives and the categorified Chern character. *Advances in Mathematics*, 309:97 – 154, 2017.

- [Hü20] Thomas Hüttemann. The “fundamental theorem” for the algebraic K-theory of strongly \mathbb{Z} -graded rings. *Documenta Mathematica*, 26:1557 – 1599, 2020.
- [LS16] Wolfgang Lück and Wolfgang Steimle. A twisted Bass-Heller-Swan decomposition for the algebraic K-theory of additive categories. *Forum Mathematicum*, 28:129 – 174, 2016.
- [Lur08] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Topos Theory*. Princeton University Press, 2008.
- [Lur14] Jacob Lurie. Lecture notes for *Algebraic K-Theory and Manifold Topology (Math 281)*, 2014. Accessible at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/281.html>.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. Princeton University Press, 2017.
- [Lurng] Jacob Lurie. *Spectral Algebraic Geometry*. 2022 (on going).
- [NS17] Thomas Nikolaus and Peter Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Mathematica*, 221, 2017.
- [Tab12] Goncalo Tabuada. The fundamental theorem via derived morita invariance, localization, and a^1 -homotopy invariance. *Journal of K-theory*, 9(3):407 – 422, 2012.
- [TT90] Robert W. Thomason and Thomas Trobaugh. Higher algebraic K-Theory of schemes and of derived categories. *The Grothendieck Festschrift, Vol. III, Progress in Mathematics*, 88:247 — 435, 1990.
- [Wal85] Friedrich Waldhausen. Algebraic K-Theory of spaces. *Algebraic and Geometric Topology, Proceedings Rutgers 1983*, 1126:318 – 419, 1985.